

# What is the Perfect Way to Rule Nations?

HP Hooded Cobra 666

December 6, 2021

*[Meteor] wrote:*

*"In my debates with people here, I've encountered a fascination with authoritarianism, including concepts such as:*

*Government-enforced abortions and involuntary euthanasia even for minor conditions, bans on all sorts of medicine (based on the ideological notion that side effects are unacceptable, rather than leaving it up to the patients and doctors), mandatory hypnosis to address unusual sexual preferences, government-ran matchmaking systems for dating where people are discouraged from making their own decisions, similarly for career paths, and the election of leaders by a (spiritual) elite rather than democracy "*

What people usually refer to as "Authoritarianism" is actually a normal Government that has a direction, not actually "Authoritarianism" as they imagine it. Functional Government works on a minimal scale, affecting the lives of people positively but in a way that looks like it isn't really "there".

Nowadays the word has become empty and merely means "Any Government that actually has any influence [and is of the goyim] is "Authoritarian" and "Nazi", while every jewish one, even if for example does rampant global surveillance, is "Freedom and Democracy". The conversation in other words is shaped by preconceived notions of interest, rather than wanting to make a point.

The reality is in inverse, even in the regimes they claim it was a dictatorship, people had more freedoms and personal autonomy in many cases, provided the leadership of any cared about them. They were closer to actual democratic regimes or delivering promises that are done now.

Regardless, too much confusion and lack of studying has many people saying things about "Authoritarianism", which are all false.

Theoretically, "Democracy" is supposed to take the rights of people very seriously. The Constitution and other things are to be upheld on the highest regard. That is also the case in Constitutional Monarchies and other similar regimes. But can we say these are upheld now?

Based only on the Co-Vid situation, all Constitutions are being violated, and we are already in a form of junta, with excessive police and military activity, rampant censorship etc. And the jewish media keeps telling us it's all "Democracy" etc., when all the basic notions of Democracy have been at least half ruined in many places worldwide already.

Even Hitler and Mussolini were elected by the people, ie, in a Democratic manner. The

sense of that ruining yourself is "choice" also existed in these regimes, but it wasn't as popular to bag yourself and kill yourself. You could, but it wasn't promoted left and right to destroy yourself and everything else.

Hitler's rule was also a considerably complex thing, because on the top it was like monarchy, in the middle like Aristocracy [the best was in control everywhere, corruption was punished severely] and the people were instantly heard and their will was promoted quickly on the top. That is a rare situation, and can manifest not only because of a system, but because of the leaders [which if enlightened, can help do this]. That is a rare situation for the current state of humanity.

It's also ironic how in most of these regimes, many rights violated today were actually upheld. I doubt Hitler or these guys would rush a faked vaccine for no reason, since this invalidates one's authority to the maximum, and in these types of "Monarchical" regimes, mistakes do severely undermine the leaders. But in "Democracy" you can always lie that it's other people's faults, and therefore, it's the least responsible way to rule. You can kike and shill all day in a Democracy, always blame shift and so on.

That's because Governments like this take pride in the quality of life they attain for people, while many like the modern US, are drifting away from this. But the US still maintains a very similar structure, that's why it's very successful. It has Roman elements in how it's run.

The situation that leaving everything down the slide in the modern perception of the 21st century, or that this guarantees "Freedom" and that it's an "Egalitarian" thing to do so, is a lie. Today "Egalitarianism", is used as an empty shell to promote jewish ideologies, such as things that kill your Nation or equate you with invaders etc.

On the other hand, this "Egalitarianism" doesn't recognize most of the other rights of human beings, such as the right to work, life, or to maintain their property etc., breaching them constantly. But it recognizes for example the rights of invaders or whatever of the sort.

Societies that do this and let things just go into any direction, just collapse. A level of cohesion is needed for States or they don't survive. Any society that does this, loses all its rights in due time.

Democracy can be very good, but it tends to be very bad since the masses of people make good choices sometimes and sometimes, they can make terrible choices out of deception. Democracy would definitely work if there wasn't the enemy to constantly dig the grave of everyone, and is considered a good state of affairs.

Democracy in the form of "mob rule" and "we wuz equal n shiet" only in the end of the day creates discord. We haven't really seen proper democracy since the jews have been controlling all vectors of society, just a jewish two party control project.

Actual Democracy hasn't existed for quite some time, and ceases to exist more and more as time goes. It existed in the Golden Age of Pericles, however, even this form would be considered "Authoritarian Dictatorship" by today's false "egalitarian" standards.

The best remains Aristocracy, which is a blend between egalitarian ideals and promotion of the best individuals. Even Democracy in the proper form is called "Polity", which has to do with election by the people, but of great individuals. So it's a blend between Aristocratic rule and Elections.

Monarchy [rule of 1 impressive person], Aristocracy [many advanced people] or "Polity" [a form where everyone involved is smart enough to make good choices, inapplicable at this level of development of humanity now, but it has happened in some historical intervals]. That is the good Aristotelian ideal.

The bad, is as follows: Tyranny [1 useless asshole that is a parasite and abuses everything pointlessly, see Geoffrey in Game of Thrones], Oligarchy [similar to present day Plutocracy where a few rich jews run everything with a couple of skills], or "Democracy" [a delusion that the people rule created by the above bad categories, or even worse, the actual mob rule that decides the worst of the worst because it has no given standards, commonly manipulated by the oligarchs].

	The One	The Few	The Many
For the Common Interest	Monarchy	Aristocracy	Polity
For the Interest of the Ruler(s)	Tyranny	Oligarchy	Democracy

Generally because most of humanity sucks [including many that are supposed the "Leaders" of it and too much jewish influence, we tend to find ourselves in the lower spectrum of corruption no matter what is applied:

Democracy as it is today, is mob rule and/or jewish rule that serves selected castes only. That's why you will see many people talk negative of it. "Democracy" ends up never serving the people in the end of the day, or the whole.

Examples we can deduct from above, is that for example, military Junta's and other things like this, can never be long-term prospects for government or anything of the sort.

Regardless, the JoS promotes we move onto the higher spectrum of spirituality and selfunderstanding for as many people as possible, and how this is going to manifest politically. The manifestations can change with times, technology, and other effects, or as we go into the future.

No matter the system, the ONLY way a species can survive is if the supreme or spiritual elements are more powerful than the brainless mob and those who want to destroy everything.

Therefore, it's absolutely normal that all Spiritual Satanists who are advanced

understand how necessary this is, and we all agree on this, otherwise, one can never be a Spiritual Satanist, as decay and spiritual downfall can never be a good thing. As one meditates, they understand this by their own growth in understanding etc.

Therefore, "Egalitarianism" is a good value insofar what we are "Egalitarian" for, actually is advanced into something rather than remains into a self-dying mass that spreads corruption. This will ruin mankind forever, it's not a political issue here, more like a natural or existence based reasoning.

In regards to the political world, it's going to be Monarchy or some form of Aristocracy, or a Polity/Republic, or even a similar form to Senatorial Roman rule, or whatever of the sort - is fine, so long the spiritual Sanatana Dharma where people and society lives and advances positively is intact.

We are opposed against all the corrupted forms of unspiritual rulership such as these three listed above, all of which destroy the creation of the Gods when they are applied.

© Joy of Satan Ministries  
[www.joyofsatan.org](http://www.joyofsatan.org)