

The "Not Everyone" Argument - Getting Offended?

High Priest Hooded Cobra 666

[January 09, 2023](#)

For all my goddamn existence on earth and how painful it has been, communicating has been nothing but a subject of confusion that we constantly have to rectify.

The more one converses with people who have done no inner work, conversation generally quickly goes down the route feeling like you are talking with lunatics.

Regardless, I had to fight this through in order to get certain things through to other people to likely help them develop out of care.

Every time anything is conversed especially on a generalized level ["women","men","rich","gay"] what have you, there is always the situation where someone pops up and tries to make the exception out of the rule.

The broad aspects of reality deal with the "mass" situation of everything. The mass situation is a median factor of things when these are mashed together. If I am mashed into 100 other different "people", then our average intelligence will likely be medium range.

You can be "THE" woman, but when we put you into a category of "women", you don't decide the fate of the category, but rather the category decides yours. Replace "woman" word with any other category, man, burger eater, whatever.

If one has a problem with where the "Category" is, this means the category might need upgrading. This can only be done by the people in that "category", ie, mankind can only evolve by mankind's efforts. Yet mankind only whines right now without giving that much effort in many cases, claiming that the average level is always other people's fault.

The exception is the exception for a reason, and the rule is where everything is mashed together. The rules apply to all. Who we are on a "category" level, is not our "individual" identity, over which most people fire the gun. This for example involves race, or gender.

To show you how cognitively dissonant that is, this is like me firing a trigger if a normal regular person in my regular life tells me "Priests are corrupt", a reality in modern "priesthood" of the enemy, but I fire the trigger anyway and argue

because "I AM A PRIEST AND MY EXISTENCE IS THE DECIDING FACTOR OF WHAT THIS IS TO BE, NOT THESE MILLIONS OF FAKE PRIESTS THIS PERSON HAS IN MIND!!!" or whatever other thing.

The above is cognitively dissonant on many areas. For one, it denies the actual world and the actual reality going on, ie, what "Priest" means today. It denies input and data that has to do with actualized realities around the subject. "Our kind" was a "rule" thousands of years ago, but now, I am only an exception. It also further denies the input these created through realistic events in the life of others, at the current timeline and with the current events.

The above is not logical to engage into.

Replace now "Priest" above with Gay, Woman or Man, and you will understand why every time you tell me shit about reactionary sadness on these things never has to do with me but with the discontent over reality or a "general category", this is completely irrational.

That denies conversation and also one does the mistake of lumping themselves again and again into the large lump of things that one should actually learn to exist a little separate from. At the same time, not having accepted how likely different and individual cases you might be, fellow SS.

The "turning point" where one becomes a Satanist is so pivotal that I see many people belonging in many "categories" yet after further spiritual development takes place, the original "Category" one existed in actually drastically changes.

It would be completely irrational as one would understand for me going into a siege to defend "Priests", anymore than many go into sieges to defend other abstract posts like "GBLT" or other generalized categories that we have to accept now are completely and thoroughly rotten, or they wouldn't be "categories", but rather "exceptions".

The reason why these are categories in the first place is because of both natural reasons and logical reasons, but also because dross tends to accumulate on the bottom rung.

That's where the dialectic of "Men and Women" was built, for example, as generalized negative behaviours that offend one gender are always conversed about the other gender, and this conversation of bullshit has continued for tens of thousands of years or something. These are always built upon "categories" that are broad.

This reaction comes from both denial of external reality and the need to actually impress a form of rule rather than accepting the world "as it is". Accepting the external world "as it is" will save your sanity as you will understand the value of going into yourself and into what truly matters way more.

If people "understood the world" in the realistic fashion, I believe that millions would be in JoS now, and that we would be extremely powerful, because exactly of proper understanding. In this case, our growth or destruction is correlated with the Satya Yuga and not the remnants of the Kali Yuga, besides maybe its departure and the flashing points of the Satya Yuga.

People always want to live under the delusion that they are "special" and everyone out there is simply so special that literally social media has already enslaved everyone and behaviourally mapped the whole species within 10 to 20 years, with amounts of predictability that one wouldn't even expect in ants.

Ants can actually be sometimes more unpredictable than human beings.

Rules are rules because they constitute the majority of a species. Yes, no doubt, there could be somewhere a lion that does not behave in the exact same manner like others. Maybe it lived 3000 years ago and it walked backwards.

A situation done by those who really want humans to live in oblivion is to always display one isolated incident of a Lion that walked backwards as a "general rule" in life, which actually breaks the consciousness of life.

Exceptional cases [which oftentimes will have unusual interests in cases like the Joy of Satan, because nobody non "exceptional" would even be interested here] and are the results of likely at least a few lifetimes of inner work, are oftentimes another subject.

This is NOT the case for 99% of human beings out there.

To understand that difference, one will understand further that next aspects of your own "identity" are also different, and not directly related to the broader categories one rushes to be "offended" about.

What you have seen they have not seen, and what you understand in your heart is likely alien knowledge to them, or to be more accurate, something latent exists in them from the time from when lions walked backwards, but they are very indirectly connected to this.

To analyse the world and society that we live in, we have to observe these generalized cases, and understand where the world is.

Most people do not want to do that. In fact, you are better off that way. As the world currently is, the more pure you keep your mind, the better. Yet to attain "real" purity, one has to actually understand to an extent the impurity.

Lastly, the Ancient Schools like the Pythagoreans had the "Andrapoda" category for people, and treated them as a DIFFERENT SPECIES compared to the initiated people.

Yes, different SPECIES.

People with whom you think you have many things in common, and which we truly do, will become highlights in your journey of how many things you do NOT have in common after you start developing yourself.

The balance of these associations and entanglements must be kept to a healthy distance, and we must certainly not be like the fools who rush in to "Defend" them more than necessary, yet also not like the other fools who in their delusion of extreme "individuality" are yet another statistic when it comes to social carelessness.